

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1452 Alexadra, Augusts 22813-1456 www.upro.dev

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/083,380	02 27/2002	Masahiro Yoshimatsu	220082US2	4769	
22850	7590 05/15/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
	IA, VA 22314		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2×34		
			DATE MAH.LD, 05/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/083,380	YOSHIMATSU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark Budd	2834
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the r - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than thr earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w iod for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a now within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communicat	tion(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL .		s action is non-final.	
	condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe		The second of th	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte			
7) Claim(s) is/are object			
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to		lection requirement	
Application Papers	Toom one of a rayor c	reduit requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner
Applicant may not request tha			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correc	tion filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	Sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawing			,
12) The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No		•	() () ()
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been received.	
		have been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified	copies of the priorit e International Bure	y documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a			
a) The translation of the fore 15) Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provi	isional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-	eview (PTO-948) -1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	nmmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 0403

Application/Control Number: 10/083,380

Art Unit: 2834

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to a piezoelectric vibrator, classified in class 310, subclass 323,02.
- Claims 10-19, drawn to a method of manufacturing a piezoelectric device, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the piezoelectric vibrator of Group I can be made by methods other than those of Group II, e.g. each resonator could be individually constructed thus eliminating the "dividing" step.

Claim provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over copending Application No. which has a common with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) if published or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the conflicting application.

This provisional rejection might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/083.380

Art Unit: 2834

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by a showing of a date of invention for the instant application prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the copending application under 37 CFR 1.131. For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this

rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the

claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject

to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(1)(2).

Code 7-21-01 is incorrect

Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claim above, and further in view of .

Budd/ds

05/14/03

Miller

Page 3